



Typing, Representing, and Abstracting Control

Functional Pearl

Philipp Schuster, Jonathan Brachthäuser



-
- Implementation strategy for languages with control effects: CPS conversion



-
- Implementation strategy for languages with control effects: CPS conversion
 - Based on:





- Implementation strategy for languages with control effects: CPS conversion
- Based on:
 - Subtyping Delimited Continuations [Materzok and Biernacki 2011]



- Implementation strategy for languages with control effects: CPS conversion
- Based on:
 - Subtyping Delimited Continuations [Materzok and Biernacki 2011]
 - Representing Control [Danvy and Filinski 1992]



- Implementation strategy for languages with control effects: CPS conversion
- Based on:
 - Subtyping Delimited Continuations [Materzok and Biernacki 2011]
 - Representing Control [Danvy and Filinski 1992]
 - Abstracting Control [Danvy and Filinski 1990]



- Implementation strategy for languages with control effects: CPS conversion
- Based on:
 - Subtyping Delimited Continuations [Materzok and Biernacki 2011]
 - Representing Control [Danvy and Filinski 1992]
 - Abstracting Control [Danvy and Filinski 1990]
- Functional Pearl: parts fit perfectly



- Implementation strategy for languages with control effects: CPS conversion
- Based on:
 - Subtyping Delimited Continuations [Materzok and Biernacki 2011]
 - Representing Control [Danvy and Filinski 1992]
 - Abstracting Control [Danvy and Filinski 1990]
- Functional Pearl: parts fit perfectly
- Dependently typed (Idris): we index types of statements by a list of control effects



- Implementation strategy for languages with control effects: CPS conversion
- Based on:
 - Subtyping Delimited Continuations [Materzok and Biernacki 2011]
 - Representing Control [Danvy and Filinski 1992]
 - Abstracting Control [Danvy and Filinski 1990]
- Functional Pearl: parts fit perfectly
- Dependently typed (Idris): we index types of statements by a list of control effects
- Start with examples



Fail

```
fail = shift0 (λk ⇒ do
  pure "no")
```



Fail

```
fail : Stm (String :: rs) a
fail = shift0 ( $\lambda k \Rightarrow$  do
  pure "no")
```



Fail

```
fail : Stm (String :: rs) a
fail = shift0 ( $\lambda k \Rightarrow$  do
  pure "no")
```



Flip

flip : Stm ($r :: rs$) Bool

flip = shift0 ($\lambda k \Rightarrow \text{do}$

resume k True

resume k False)



Flip

flip : Stm ($r :: rs$) Bool

flip = shift0 ($\lambda k \Rightarrow$ do
 resume k True
 resume k False)



Emit

emit : a → Stm (List a :: rs) ()

emit a = shift0 (λk ⇒ do

as ← resume k ()

pure (a :: as))



Emit

emit : $\underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} (\text{List } a :: rs) ()$

emit a = shift0 ($\lambda k \Rightarrow \text{do}$

$as \leftarrow \text{resume } k ()$

pure ($a \sqcup as$))



Emit Triples

emitTriples : Stm (String :: List (Int, Int, Int) :: *rs*) String

emitTriples = **do**

res \leftarrow *triple* 9 15

 lift (*emit res*)

 pure "done"

emittedTriples : Stm [] (List (Int, Int, Int))

emittedTriples = reset0 (reset0 *emitTriples* \gg pure [])



Emit Triples

emitTriples : Stm (String :: List (Int, Int, Int) :: rs) String

emitTriples = do

res ← triple 9 15

lift (emit res)

pure "done"

emittedTriples : Stm [] (List (Int, Int, Int))

emittedTriples = reset0 (reset0 emitTriples ≫ pure [])



Emit Triples

emitTriples : Stm (String :: List (Int, Int, Int) :: *rs*) String

emitTriples = **do**

res \leftarrow *triple* 9 15

 lift (*emit res*)

 pure "done"

emittedTriples : Stm [] (List (Int, Int, Int))

emittedTriples = reset0 (reset0 *emitTriples* \gg pure [])



Emit Triples

emitTriples : Stm (String :: List (Int, Int, Int) :: rs) String

emitTriples = do

res ← triple 9 15

lift (emit res)

pure "done"

emittedTriples : Stm[] (List (Int, Int, Int))

emittedTriples = reset0 (reset0 emitTriples ≫ pure [])



Emit Triples

emitTriples : Stm (String :: List (Int, Int, Int) :: *rs*) String

emitTriples = **do**

res \leftarrow *triple* 9 15

 lift (*emit res*)

 pure "done"

emittedTriples : Stm [] (List (Int, Int, Int))

emittedTriples = reset0 (reset0 *emitTriples* \gg pure [])



Generated Code for Emitted Triples

```
(let f0 n = (λk1 ⇒ (λk2 ⇒  
  (if (n < 1)  
    then k2 "no"  
    else f0 (n - 1) k1 (λx4 ⇒ k1 n k2)))) in f0) 9 (λx0 ⇒ (λk3 ⇒  
(let f2 n = (λk1 ⇒ (λk2 ⇒  
  (if (n < 1)  
    then k2 "no"  
    else f2 (n - 1) k1 (λx6 ⇒ k1 n k2)))) in f2) (x0 - 1) (λx1 ⇒ (λk4 ⇒  
(let f4 n = (λk1 ⇒ (λk2 ⇒  
  (if (n < 1)  
    then k2 "no"  
    else f4 (n - 1) k1 (λx8 ⇒ k1 n k2)))) in f4) (x1 - 1) (λx2 ⇒ (λk5 ⇒  
  (if ((x0 + (x1 + x2)) ≡ 15)  
    then ((x0, x1, x2) :: (k5 "done"))  
    else k5 "no")))) k4)) k3)) (λx0 ⇒ [])
```



Generated Code for Emitted Triples

```
(let f0 n = (λk1 ⇒ (λk2 ⇒
  (if (n < 1)
    then k2 "no"
    else f0 (n - 1) k1 (λx4 ⇒ k1 n k2)))) in f0) 9 (λx0 ⇒ (λk3 ⇒
(let f2 n = (λk1 ⇒ (λk2 ⇒
  (if (n < 1)
    then k2 "no"
    else f2 (n - 1) k1 (λx6 ⇒ k1 n k2)))) in f2) (x0 - 1) (λx1 ⇒ (λk4 ⇒
(let f4 n = (λk1 ⇒ (λk2 ⇒
  (if (n < 1)
    then k2 "no"
    else f4 (n - 1) k1 (λx8 ⇒ k1 n k2)))) in f4) (x1 - 1) (λx2 ⇒ (λk5 ⇒
  (if ((x0 + (x1 + x2)) ≡ 15)
    then ((x0, x1, x2) :: (k5 "done"))
    else k5 "no")))) k4)) k3)) (λx0 ⇒ []))
```



Generated Code for Emitted Triples

```
(let f0 n = (λk1 ⇒ (λk2 ⇒  
  (if (n < 1)  
    then k2 "no"  
    else f0 (n - 1) k1 (λx4 ⇒ k1 n k2)))) in f0) 9 (λx0 ⇒ (λk3 ⇒  
(let f2 n = (λk1 ⇒ (λk2 ⇒  
  (if (n < 1)  
    then k2 "no"  
    else f2 (n - 1) k1 (λx6 ⇒ k1 n k2)))) in f2) (x0 - 1) (λx1 ⇒ (λk4 ⇒  
(let f4 n = (λk1 ⇒ (λk2 ⇒  
  (if (n < 1)  
    then k2 "no"  
    else f4 (n - 1) k1 (λx8 ⇒ k1 n k2)))) in f4) (x1 - 1) (λx2 ⇒ (λk5 ⇒  
  (if ((x0 + (x1 + x2)) ≡ 15)  
    then ((x0, x1, x2) :: (k5 "done"))  
    else k5 "no")))) k4)) k3)) (λx0 ⇒ [])
```



Typing, Representing, and Abstracting Control



Basics: Continuation Passing Style

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r\ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$



Basics: Continuation Passing Style

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{shift0} : ((a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$



Basics: Continuation Passing Style

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{shift0} : ((a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$



Basics: Continuation Passing Style

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{shift0} : ((a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$

$\text{run0} : \text{Cps } r \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$



Basics: Continuation Passing Style

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{shift0} : ((a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$

$\text{run0} : \text{Cps } r \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{run0} = \text{id}$



Basics: Continuation Passing Style

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{shift0} : ((a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$

$\text{run0} : \text{Cps } r \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{run0} = \text{id}$

$\text{reset0} : \text{Cps } r \ r \rightarrow r$

$\text{reset0 } m = \text{run0 } m \ \text{id}$



Basics: Continuation Monad

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$



Basics: Continuation Monad

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{pure} : a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{pure } a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k \ a$



Basics: Continuation Monad

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{pure} : a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{pure } a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k \ a$

$\text{push} : (a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow r)$

$\text{push } f \ k = \lambda a \Rightarrow f \ a \ k$



Basics: Continuation Monad

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{pure} : a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{pure } a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k \ a$

$\text{push} : (a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow r)$

$\text{push } f \ k = \lambda a \Rightarrow f \ a \ k$

$\text{bind} : \text{Cps } r \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b$

$\text{bind } m \ f = \lambda k \Rightarrow m \ (\text{push } f \ k)$



Typing, *Representing*, and Abstracting Control



Representing Control: Target Language

```
data Exp : Type → Type where
  Lam : (Exp a → Exp b) → Exp (a → b)
  App : Exp (a → b) → Exp a → Exp b
  Add : Exp Int → Exp Int → Exp Int
  Lit0 : Exp Int
  Lit1 : Exp Int
  ...
  ...
```



Representing Control: Target Language

data `:` Type → Type **where**

`λ` : (`a` → `b`) → `a` → `b`

`@` : `a` → `b` → `a` → `b`

`+` : `Int` → `Int` → `Int`

`0` : `Int`

`1` : `Int`

...



Representing Control: Operators (before staging)

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{shift0} : ((a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$

$\text{run0} : \text{Cps } r \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{run0} = \text{id}$

$\text{reset0} : \text{Cps } r \ r \rightarrow r$

$\text{reset0 } m = \text{run0 } m \ \text{id}$



Representing Control: Operators (after staging)

$\overline{\text{Cps}} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\overline{\text{Cps}} r a = (\underline{a} \rightarrow \underline{r}) \rightarrow \underline{r}$

$\text{shift0} : ((\underline{a} \rightarrow \underline{r}) \rightarrow \underline{r}) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Cps}} r a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$

$\text{run0} : \overline{\text{Cps}} r a \rightarrow (\underline{a} \rightarrow \underline{r}) \rightarrow \underline{r}$

$\text{run0} = \text{id}$

$\text{reset0} : \overline{\text{Cps}} r r \rightarrow \underline{r}$

$\text{reset0 } m = \text{run0 } m \text{ id}$



Representing Control: Monad (before staging)

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{pure} : a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{pure } a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k \ a$

$\text{push} : (a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow r)$

$\text{push } f \ k = \lambda a \Rightarrow f \ a \ k$

$\text{bind} : \text{Cps } r \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b$

$\text{bind } m \ f = \lambda k \Rightarrow m \ (\text{push } f \ k)$



Representing Control: Monad (after staging)

$\overline{\text{Cps}} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\overline{\text{Cps}} r a = (\underline{a} \rightarrow \underline{r}) \rightarrow \underline{r}$

$\text{pure} : \underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Cps}} r a$

$\text{pure } a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k a$

$\text{push} : (\underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Cps}} r b) \rightarrow (\underline{b} \rightarrow \underline{r}) \rightarrow (\underline{a} \rightarrow \underline{r})$

$\text{push } f k = \lambda a \Rightarrow f a k$

$\text{bind} : \overline{\text{Cps}} r a \rightarrow (\underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Cps}} r b) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Cps}} r b$

$\text{bind } m f = \lambda k \Rightarrow m (\text{push } f k)$



Representing Control: Reify and Reflect

reify : $\overline{\text{Cps}} \ r \ a \rightarrow \underline{\text{Cps}} \ r \ a$

reflect : $\underline{\text{Cps}} \ r \ a \rightarrow \overline{\text{Cps}} \ r \ a$



Representing Control: Reify and Reflect

reify : $\overline{\text{Cps}}\ r\ a \rightarrow \underline{\text{Cps}\ r\ a}$

reify $m = \underline{\lambda}\ \lambda k \Rightarrow m (\lambda a \Rightarrow k \underline{@}\ a)$

reflect : $\underline{\text{Cps}\ r\ a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Cps}}\ r\ a$

reflect $m = \lambda k \Rightarrow m \underline{@} (\underline{\lambda}\ \lambda a \Rightarrow k\ a)$



Typing, Representing, and *Abstracting* Control



Abstracting Control: CPS Hierarchy

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{Cps } r \ a$



Abstracting Control: CPS Hierarchy

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{Cps } (\text{Cps } r \ q) \ a$



Abstracting Control: CPS Hierarchy

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{Cps } (\text{Cps } r \ q) \ a$

$\text{Cps } (\text{Cps } (\text{Cps } r \ q) \ p) \ a$

...



Abstracting Control: Effectful Statements

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{Stm} : \text{List Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Stm } [] \ a = a$

$\text{Stm } (r :: rs) \ a = \text{Cps} (\text{Stm } rs \ r) \ a$

$\text{Stm } [p, q, r] \ a = \text{Cps} (\text{Cps} (\text{Cps } r \ q) \ p) \ a$



Abstracting Control: Operators (before abstraction)

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{shift0} : ((a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$

$\text{run0} : \text{Cps } r \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{run0} = \text{id}$

$\text{reset0} : \text{Cps } r \ r \rightarrow r$

$\text{reset0 } m = \text{run0 } m \ \text{id}$



Abstracting Control: Operators (after abstraction)

$\text{Stm} : \text{List Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Stm} [] a = a$

$\text{Stm} (r :: rs) a = \text{Cps} (\text{Stm} rs r) a$

$\text{shift0} : ((a \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r) \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r) \rightarrow \text{Stm} (r :: rs) a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$

$\text{run0} : \text{Stm} (r :: rs) a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r) \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r$

$\text{run0} = \text{id}$

$\text{reset0} : \text{Stm} (a :: rs) a \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs a$

$\text{reset0 } m = \text{run0 } m \text{ pure}$



Abstracting Control: Monad (before abstraction)

$\text{Cps} : \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Cps } r \ a = (a \rightarrow r) \rightarrow r$

$\text{pure} : a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ a$

$\text{pure } a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k \ a$

$\text{push} : (a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow r)$

$\text{push } f \ k = \lambda a \Rightarrow f \ a \ k$

$\text{bind} : \text{Cps } r \ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b) \rightarrow \text{Cps } r \ b$

$\text{bind } m \ f = \lambda k \Rightarrow m \ (\text{push } f \ k)$



Abstracting Control: Monad (after abstraction)

$\text{Stm} : \text{List Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Stm} [] a = a$

$\text{Stm} (r :: rs) a = \text{Cps} (\text{Stm } rs r) a$

$\text{pure} : a \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs a$

$\text{pure}_{r :: rs} a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k a$

$\text{push} : (a \rightarrow \text{Stm} (r :: rs) b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs r) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs r)$

$\text{push } f k = \lambda a \Rightarrow f a k$

$\text{bind} : \text{Stm } rs a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs b) \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs b$

$\text{bind}_{r :: rs} m f = \lambda k \Rightarrow m (\text{push } f k)$



Abstracting Control: Monad (after abstraction)

$\text{Stm} : \text{List Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Stm} [] a = a$

$\text{Stm} (r :: rs) a = \text{Cps} (\text{Stm } rs r) a$

$\text{pure} : a \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs a$

$\text{pure}_{r :: rs} a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k a$

$\text{pure} [] a = a$

$\text{push} : (a \rightarrow \text{Stm} (r :: rs) b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs r) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs r)$

$\text{push } f k = \lambda a \Rightarrow f a k$

$\text{bind} : \text{Stm } rs a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs b) \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs b$

$\text{bind}_{r :: rs} m f = \lambda k \Rightarrow m (\text{push } f k)$

$\text{bind} [] m f = f m$



Abstracting Control: Lifting

`lift : Stm rs a → Stm (r :: rs) a`

`lift = bind`



Abstracting Control: Lifting

$\text{lift} : \text{Stm } rs\ a \rightarrow \text{Stm } (r :: rs)\ a$

$\text{lift} = \text{bind}$

$\text{shift0}_0 : ((a \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs\ r) \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs\ r) \rightarrow \text{Stm } (r :: rs)\ a$

$\text{shift0}_0 = \text{shift0}$

$\text{shift0}_1 : ((a \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs\ r) \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs\ r) \rightarrow \text{Stm } (q :: r :: rs)\ a$

$\text{shift0}_1 = \text{lift} \circ \text{shift0}$

$\text{shift0}_2 : ((a \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs\ r) \rightarrow \text{Stm } rs\ r) \rightarrow \text{Stm } (p :: q :: r :: rs)\ a$

$\text{shift0}_2 = \text{lift} \circ \text{lift} \circ \text{shift0}$

...



Typing, *Representing*, and *Abstracting* Control



Representing Abstracted Control: Operators

$\text{Stm} : \text{List Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Stm} [] a = a$

$\text{Stm} (r :: rs) a = \text{Cps} (\text{Stm} rs r) a$

$\text{shift0} : ((a \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r) \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r) \rightarrow \text{Stm} (r :: rs) a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$

$\text{run0} : \text{Stm} (r :: rs) a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r) \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r$

$\text{run0} = \text{id}$

$\text{reset0} : \text{Stm} (a :: rs) a \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs a$

$\text{reset0 } m = \text{run0 } m \text{ pure}$



Representing Abstracted Control: Operators

$\overline{\text{Stm}} : \text{List Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\overline{\text{Stm}} [] a = \underline{a}$

$\overline{\text{Stm}} (r :: rs) a = \text{Cps} (\overline{\text{Stm}} rs r) \underline{a}$

$\text{shift0} : ((\underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs r) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs r) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} (r :: rs) a$

$\text{shift0} = \text{id}$

$\text{run0} : \overline{\text{Stm}} (r :: rs) a \rightarrow (\underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs r) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs r$

$\text{run0} = \text{id}$

$\text{reset0} : \overline{\text{Stm}} (a :: rs) a \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs a$

$\text{reset0 } m = \text{run0 } m \text{ pure}$



Representing Abstracted Control: Monad

$\text{Stm} : \text{List Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\text{Stm} [] a = a$

$\text{Stm} (r :: rs) a = \text{Cps} (\text{Stm} rs r) a$

$\text{pure} : a \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs a$

$\text{pure}_{r :: rs} a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k a$

$\text{pure}_{[]} a = a$

$\text{push} : (a \rightarrow \text{Stm} (r :: rs) b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs r)$

$\text{push } f \ k = \lambda a \Rightarrow f \ a \ k$

$\text{bind} : \text{Stm} rs a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs b) \rightarrow \text{Stm} rs b$

$\text{bind}_{r :: rs} m f = \lambda k \Rightarrow m (\text{push} f k)$

$\text{bind}_{[]} m f = f \ m$



Representing Abstracted Control: Monad

$\overline{\text{Stm}} : \text{List Type} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$\overline{\text{Stm}} [] a = \underline{a}$

$\overline{\text{Stm}} (r :: rs) a = \text{Cps} (\overline{\text{Stm}} rs r) \underline{a}$

$\text{pure} : \underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs a$

$\text{pure}_{r::rs} a = \lambda k \Rightarrow k a$

$\text{pure}_{[]} a = a$

$\text{push} : (\underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} (r :: rs) b) \rightarrow (\underline{b} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs r) \rightarrow (\underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs r)$

$\text{push } f \ k = \lambda a \Rightarrow f \ a \ k$

$\text{bind} : \overline{\text{Stm}} rs a \rightarrow (\underline{a} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs b) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Stm}} rs b$

$\text{bind}_{r::rs} m f = \lambda k \Rightarrow m (\text{push } f \ k)$

$\text{bind}_{[]} m f = f \ m$



Staged Statements: Reify and Reflect

mutual

reify : $\overline{\text{Stm}}$ rs a → Stm rs a

reflect : Stm rs a → $\overline{\text{Stm}}$ rs a



Staged Statements: Reify and Reflect

mutual

reify : Stm rs a → Stm rs a

reify[] m = m

reify_{q::qs} m = λ k ⇒ reify (m (λa ⇒ reflect (k o a)))

reflect : Stm rs a → Stm rs a

reflect[] m = m

reflect_{q::qs} m = λk ⇒ reflect (m o (λ λa ⇒ reify (k a)))



Conclusion

- Nicely fitting synthesis of:





Conclusion

- Nicely fitting synthesis of:
 - Marek Materzok and Dariusz Biernacki. 2011. Sub *typing* Delimited Continuations. In Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '11). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 81–93.



Conclusion

- Nicely fitting synthesis of:

- Marek Materzok and Dariusz Biernacki. 2011. Subtyping Delimited Continuations. In Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '11). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 81–93.
- Olivier Danvy and Andrzej Filinski. 1992. *Representing control*: A study of the CPS transformation. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science 2, 4 (1992), 361–391.



Conclusion

- Nicely fitting synthesis of:

- Marek Materzok and Dariusz Biernacki. 2011. Subtyping Delimited Continuations. In Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '11). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 81–93.
- Olivier Danvy and Andrzej Filinski. 1992. Representing control: A study of the CPS transformation. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science 2, 4 (1992), 361–391.
- Olivier Danvy and Andrzej Filinski. 1990. *Abstracting Control*. In Proceedings of the Conference on LISP and Functional Programming. ACM.



Conclusion

- Nicely fitting synthesis of:
 - Marek Materzok and Dariusz Biernacki. 2011. Subtyping Delimited Continuations. In Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '11). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 81–93.
 - Olivier Danvy and Andrzej Filinski. 1992. Representing control: A study of the CPS transformation. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science* 2, 4 (1992), 361–391.
 - Olivier Danvy and Andrzej Filinski. 1990. Abstracting Control. In Proceedings of the Conference on LISP and Functional Programming. ACM.
- List of answer types are types of delimiters



Conclusion

- Nicely fitting synthesis of:
 - Marek Materzok and Dariusz Biernacki. 2011. Subtyping Delimited Continuations. In Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '11). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 81–93.
 - Olivier Danvy and Andrzej Filinski. 1992. Representing control: A study of the CPS transformation. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science 2, 4 (1992), 361–391.
 - Olivier Danvy and Andrzej Filinski. 1990. Abstracting Control. In Proceedings of the Conference on LISP and Functional Programming. ACM.
- List of answer types are types of delimiters
- Effect types guide CPS transform



Conclusion

- Nicely fitting synthesis of:
 - Marek Materzok and Dariusz Biernacki. 2011. Subtyping Delimited Continuations. In Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '11). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 81–93.
 - Olivier Danvy and Andrzej Filinski. 1992. Representing control: A study of the CPS transformation. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science 2, 4 (1992), 361–391.
 - Olivier Danvy and Andrzej Filinski. 1990. Abstracting Control. In Proceedings of the Conference on LISP and Functional Programming. ACM.
- List of answer types are types of delimiters
- Effect types guide CPS transform

Also in the paper:

- Avoiding Eta-Redexes
- Branching and Recursion